

Representations re. answers to questions.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—Sir, I had tabled a short notice question and I do not know what happened to it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You will receive an endorsement in the course of the day.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—I had sent one such question regarding Forest Department.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall ascertain and let you know.

Sri V. R. NAIDU.—In Question 173, the amount of grants made to societies is asked for but the Government have given a statement of loans.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I cannot go back.

* Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I want to raise some objection regarding the answers that have been supplied to us. A year back the question was raised on the floor of the House that when questions on similar subjects are put by different members, as for example if a question is put on handloom industry by one member and so many other members have put questions on the same subject, the answers are not supplied to all the questions put, on the same day so that it will not facilitate members to take part in question hour. On another subject, namely, road transport, so many questions were put by different members. This defect was pointed out last time and you were pleased to say that Government should take suitable measures to supply answers for all those questions. But Government have not adhered to that suggestion thrown out by the Chair. I would like to bring this to the notice of the Chair so that in future at least we may have questions and answers on similar subjects supplied on the same day.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Government have heard you.

Complaint re. incorrect reporting in some newspapers.

* Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—Sir, we have been following the reports of the proceedings of the Assembly in some of the papers in the Mysore State.

I regret to state that some of the papers have not been faithfully, fairly and directly reporting the proceedings of the Assembly. For example, in Deccan Herald, dated 20th January 1954, it has been reported like this. I made a speech on the motion on Land Revenue demand. The version in the paper is :

“Mr. Mulka Govinda Reddy speaking on the demand said that similar concessions might be extended to the ryots in connection with the collection of land revenue and takavi loans.”

When he said similar concessions, he was referring to the speech made by Sri Mohamed Imam who preceded me.

Sri J. Mohamed Imam is reported to have spoken as follows :—

“Mr. Imam, moving a cut motion on the demand under ‘Land Revenue’ for Rs. 27,000 pointed out that the transferred territory, specially the Hudalagi Taluk.....”

There also it is incorrectly reported. It was Kudligi Taluk.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He might not have heard it properly.

Sri MULKA GOVINDA REDDY.—All right. Proceeding further—

“...was subjected to drought due to continuous failure of rains. According to his information, the State Government had issued orders to collect the land revenue arrears relating to the two previous years from the ryots of those areas. Before the transfer of the territory, the ryots were expecting remission of land revenue from the Government of Madras and subsequent events had denied them this concession. He urged the Government to extend to these taluks the same concessions enjoyed by the ryots in Mysore, namely, payment of land revenue in four instalments and also necessary land remission.”

I never touched anything regarding land revenue. I only pointed out regarding extension of time for payment of instalments of takavi loans.